

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
	)	Case No. 4:12CR3054
LUIS ORTA ESPINOZA,	)	
Defendant	)	

## DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL

After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require that the defendant be detained pending trial.

### Part I—Findings of Fact

- ☐ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ☐ a federal offense ☐ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed - that is
- ☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4) or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.
  - ☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.
  - ☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in
- .\*
- ☐ a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
- ☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:
    - ☐ a minor victim
    - ☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
    - ☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250
- ☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.
- ☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since the ☐ date of conviction ☐ the defendant's release from prison for the offense described in finding (1).
- ☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

### Alternative Findings (A)

- X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
- X for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 841 (a)(1) and (b)(1).
  - ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).
- X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and the safety of the community.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

**Alternative Findings (B)**

- ☐ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.
- ☐ (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.

**Part II—Statement of the Reasons for Detention**

I find that the testimony and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by ☒ clear and convincing evidence ☐ a preponderance of the evidence that

that the defendant poses a risk of danger to the community and a risk of flight if released. The defendant is not a US citizen, and is currently subject to an ICE detainer. He has a criminal history which includes making false statements to law enforcement, and at the time of his booking in this case, falsely stated that he was a U.S. citizen. Although he has family ties in this country (wife and child), and has lived in this country for over ten years, prior to this case being filed, he never applied for legal work status. Instead, his wife formed a company that defendant operated. That company is only a year old. The defendant has very little equity in his home here in the United States. He maintains regular contact with his four sisters who still live in Mexico.

**Part III—Directions Regarding Detention**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: June 18, 2012

s/Cheryl R. Zwart  
United States Magistrate Judge